

Overview of Expenditures and Sources of Funding for the 2020 Election



Prepared by the Campaign Finance and Public Disclosure Board

Issued: December 2021

Campaign Finance & Public Disclosure Board

Suite 190, Centennial Office Building

658 Cedar Street

St. Paul MN 55155-1603

Telephone: 651-539-1180 or 800-657-3889

Fax: 651-539-1196

For TTY/TDD communication contact us through the Minnesota Relay Service at 800-627-3529

Email: cf.board@state.mn.us

Web site: <https://cfb.mn.gov>

Executive Summary

The Campaign Finance and Public Disclosure Board is charged with providing the public with information on the money raised and spent to influence elections for state offices in Minnesota. This is accomplished through the registration and reporting requirements of Chapter 10A, which requires candidate committees, political parties, and political committees and funds to file periodic reports of their financial activity with the Board. When filed, all campaign finance reports are available for inspection on the Board's website. Placing the reports on the website allows members of the public to quickly inspect the disclosure of candidates in their legislative districts and in other races of interest. But the volume of these reports, over 6,175 reports and amendments filed in 2020, can make it difficult for an individual to make comparisons across districts, or to see the bigger picture on how money is raised and spent to influence Minnesota elections. The goal of this overview is to reduce the data from the 2020 election into tables and graphical representations that are more approachable and that provide comparisons and summary information that would take time for individuals to generate on their own.

This overview does not replace the Campaign Finance Election Summary, which provides a detailed listing of the money raised and spent by each registered committee. The Campaign Finance Election Summary is available online at cfb.mn.gov/publications/programs/reports/campaign-finance_summaries. The data used for this overview is as reported to the Board. The data reported to the Board is subject to amendment. Amendments are filed to correct 2020 reports throughout the following year, and totals in this overview may differ somewhat from totals reported in the Campaign Finance Election Summary as the documents are compiled and released independent from each other.

The overview shows that total expenditures to influence the 2020 state legislative elections, counting both campaign expenditures made by the candidates' campaign committees and independent expenditures made for and against candidates, came to \$39,172,416. Starting on page 4, the overview first compares the amount spent by candidates and the amount spent on independent expenditures, then provides maps that compare the total expenditures on campaigns between districts. More detailed information is provided for each house district (Appendix A, page 16) and senate district (Appendix B, page 83). The overview also provides a relative comparison of the spending on senate and house district elections in tables that list total spending in descending order from the most expensive to the least expensive election (senate districts in Appendix C, page 117, and house districts in Appendix D, page 119). The amount spent for senate elections ranges from a high of \$2,505,711 for Senate District 34, to a low of \$21,404 for Senate District 52. For house elections the range is a high of \$678,504 in House District 33B, to a low of \$6,151 in House District 65B.

Independent expenditures made in 2020 are looked at in more detail starting on page 8. Independent expenditures made in 2020 to influence state elections totaled \$26,250,716. This section includes maps for the senate and house that identify the districts in which independent expenditures exceeded candidate campaign expenditures.

The sources of funding for candidate committees, political party units, political committees and funds, and independent expenditure committees and funds, is compared starting on page 11.

Finally, on page 14, the overview provides a brief look at the role of large contributions of over \$50,000 in the funding of political committees and funds, independent expenditure committees and funds, and political party units in 2020.


Total Expenditures to Influence the 2020 Election

Expenditures to influence voting in the 2020 elections are reported to the Board in two ways. First, candidate committees report campaign expenditures made by those committees. This amount includes the value of in-kind expenditures made with the knowledge and on behalf of a candidate by party units or political committees or funds.

Second, political parties, political committees and funds, and independent expenditure committees and funds can make independent expenditures either in support of or in opposition to candidates. Independent expenditures are made without the prior knowledge of candidates, and so are reported only by the party units and political committees and funds that made those expenditures.¹

During 2020, campaign expenditures made by the committees of house and senate candidates and independent expenditures made in support of or in opposition to those candidates totaled \$12,921,699; total independent expenditures came to \$26,028,348. As seen in **Figure 1**, the 2020 election was the fourth state election in which total independent expenditures exceeded total candidate campaign expenditures. The 2020 election was the first election at which independent expenditures exceeded campaign expenditures by more than two to one. However, independent expenditures were not uniformly made across all elections. Independent expenditures exceeded candidate expenditures in 16 of 67 senate contests, and in only 31 of 134 house districts. Maps showing the location of districts where independent expenditures exceeded campaign expenditures are found on pages 10 and 11.

Figure 1
Total Candidate Campaign Expenditures Compared to Total Independent Expenditures



The Board notes that the contributions and expenditures included in this report are those contributions and expenditures reported to the Board. There are expenditures for other types of communication that

¹ Candidate committees may also spend funds on non-campaign disbursements, which are defined in statute and not counted as campaign expenditures. Party units and political committees also have general expenditures, typically administrative overhead, which are not made on behalf of a particular state candidate. Non-campaign disbursements and general expenditures are not included in this analysis.

may also influence elections that are not reported to the Board. Under current law, communications that do not use specified words that expressly advocate for or against a specific candidate are outside of the definition of independent expenditure, and are therefore outside of the reporting requirements of Chapter 10A.

Independent expenditures that total over \$200 are reported with information that identifies the candidate who was the subject of the independent expenditure, and whether the expenditure was in support of or in opposition to the candidate². Total spending on any particular race may be determined by combining the campaign expenditures made by the candidate committees in the race with the independent expenditures made for and against the candidates in that race. In **Table 1**, the ten Senate districts with the highest total expenditures in 2020 are listed.

Table 1


Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
Senate	34	\$2,326,705.55	\$179,006.09	\$2,505,711.64
Senate	56	\$1,940,916.04	\$218,135.28	\$2,159,051.32
Senate	26	\$1,882,058.12	\$213,086.42	\$2,095,144.54
Senate	39	\$1,833,159.67	\$204,483.22	\$2,037,642.89
Senate	14	\$1,589,644.14	\$194,793.27	\$1,784,437.41
Senate	25	\$1,457,570.06	\$173,107.47	\$1,630,677.53
Senate	58	\$1,251,142.13	\$162,060.37	\$1,413,202.50
Senate	38	\$1,005,043.69	\$162,405.92	\$1,167,449.61
Senate	27	\$850,302.35	\$135,614.84	\$985,917.19
Senate	53	\$542,305.42	\$206,019.56	\$748,324.98

Senate districts 34, 56, 26, and 39 are the first legislative races in Minnesota where the expenditure total exceeded two million dollars during a single year. A complete listing of total expenditures for all senate districts in descending order is found in **Appendix C**. A comparative map of total expenditures by senate district is shown below in **Figure 2**.

² Approximately \$300,000 in independent expenditures were reported as non-itemized, which means that the candidate who was supported or opposed by the independent expenditure is not disclosed.

Figure 2

Total Campaign Expenditures and Independent Expenditures by Senate District




In **Table 2** the ten House districts with the highest total expenditures are listed. A complete listing of total expenditures for all house districts in descending order is found in **Appendix D**. A comparative map of total expenditures by senate district is shown below in **Figure 3**.

Table 2

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
House	33B	\$422,789.27	\$255,715.31	\$678,504.58
House	39B	\$505,421.61	\$103,980.89	\$609,402.50
House	56B	\$472,435.53	\$107,669.77	\$580,105.30
House	38B	\$405,662.74	\$117,265.57	\$522,928.31
House	55A	\$385,018.44	\$114,115.90	\$499,134.34
House	36A	\$406,793.82	\$88,631.27	\$495,425.09
House	37B	\$368,592.77	\$110,326.86	\$478,919.63
House	5A	\$386,797.78	\$82,676.89	\$469,474.67
House	54B	\$338,152.30	\$108,507.95	\$446,660.25
House	56A	\$350,617.45	\$91,409.03	\$442,026.48

Figure 3
Total Campaign Expenditures and Independent Expenditures by House District



Comparative charts on the campaign expenditures and independent expenditures made by each candidate in each house district is provided in **Appendix A**. A similar set of charts for each candidate in each senate district is available in **Appendix B**.

2020 Independent Expenditures

Comparing candidate campaign expenditures and independent expenditures over multiple elections is complicated by the fact that total campaign expenditures and independent expenditures increase or decrease depending on which offices are on the ballot. In particular, the presence of the office of governor on the ballot significantly increases the total amount of spending on independent expenditures. In **Figure 4**, the total amount of independent expenditures spikes in 2006, 2010, 2014, and 2018, which are the years in which the office of governor was up for election. However, a direct comparison between the years when the office of governor is on the ballot is still problematic because of the office of state senate, which may or may not match when the governor is on the ballot. Therefore, while it is possible to say that total spending on independent expenditures has steadily increased over time, the increase is not strictly linear as the amount varies in relation to the offices on the ballot. In 2020, both the House and the Senate were on the ballot, but the office of governor and the other constitutional offices were not.

Figure 4
Minnesota Independent Expenditures by Election Year 1994 - 2020





Figure 4 also breaks out independent expenditures by the type of registered committee that made the expenditure: political party units, political committees and funds, and independent expenditure committees and funds. Independent expenditure committees and funds are different from other political committees and funds because they may accept contributions from corporations. The 2010 election is the first year during which independent expenditure committees and funds were recognized under Minnesota law. Expenditures by independent expenditure committees and funds are shown in blue.

In 2020 independent expenditures were in total significantly higher for races in the state senate (\$18,287,160) than for races in the state house (\$7,963,556). By political party, the majority of independent expenditures were made either in support of DFL candidates or in opposition to RPM candidates³. In **Figure 5** campaign expenditures and independent expenditures for and against candidates by office and party are compared.


Figure 5



As mentioned above, independent expenditures are not equally spread among all districts. In **Figure 6** the sixteen senate districts shaded in green are those districts in which independent expenditures exceeded candidate campaign expenditures. The same is true for the thirty-one house districts in **Figure 7**. The insert in both maps are the metro area districts. Similar to the 2018 state election, the suburban legislative districts in which independent expenditures exceeded candidate expenditures making nearly a complete circle around Minneapolis and St. Paul. In 2020 independent expenditures for house districts were also prominent in the northeast quarter of the state.

³ Some independent expenditures are made prior to the primary election and are made to support or oppose a candidate of the same political party. This somewhat distorts the party breakdown in figure 3, but independent spending to influence a primary election result is a relatively small amount of total independent expenditures.


Figure 6
 Independent Expenditures Compared
 to Candidate Expenditures in Senate Districts



Independent expenditures in the sixteen senate districts shown in green in **Figure 6** total \$17,429,195 compared to a total of \$2,594,607 in campaign expenditures spent in those districts. In the districts where independent expenditures exceeded candidate expenditures approximately 6.7 dollars were spent on independent expenditures for every dollar spent in total by candidate committees.

In **Figure 7**, in the thirty-one house districts shown in green a total of \$7,472,606 was spent on independent expenditures, compared to \$2,694,581 in campaign expenditures by candidate committees in those districts. In the districts where independent expenditures exceeded candidate expenditures 2.75 dollars were spent on independent expenditures for every dollar spend in total by candidate committees.


Figure 7
Independent Expenditures Compared
to Candidate Expenditures in House Districts



Sources of Funding for Legislative Candidates in 2019 - 2020

During the two-year period of 2019 – 2020 legislative candidate committees received \$17,058,434 in funding from contributions from individuals, political party units, political committees and funds, and lobbyists, plus an additional \$2,131,750 in direct public subsidy payments. In **Figure 8** the source of funding for legislative candidates is compared by office and DFL and RPM affiliation. Contributions to legislative candidates affiliated with a political party other than DFL or RPM were either from individuals (\$13,493) or political parties (\$2,600). No legislative candidate affiliated with a political party other than the DFL or RPM qualified for a public subsidy payment.


Figure 8
Source of Funding for Legislative Candidates 2019 – 2020



Sources of Funding for Political Parties

During the two-year period of 2019 – 2020 the RPM and DFL political parties received \$39,269,749 in funding from contributions from individuals, political party units, political committees and funds, associations not registered with the Board, and lobbyists.⁴ In **Figure 9** the source of funding for DFL and RPM party units are compared. The contributions from party units generally represent transfers from local party units or legislative caucuses to the state central committees. Arguably, party unit transfers represent a double counting of contribution dollars received by party units and inflate the total funds actually received by political parties in Minnesota.

Figure 9
Source of Funding for DFL and RPM Party Units 2019 - 2020




⁴ During 2019 – 2020 the DFL and RPM parties also received \$124,951 in public subsidy payments. That total is not included in figure 7 because the amount is significantly less than 1% of the total funding.

During the years 2019 and 2020 the Independence-Alliance Party, Grassroots-Legalize Cannabis Party, Legal Marijuana Now Party, and Libertarian Party received a total of \$119,975 in contributions, almost exclusively from individuals.

Source of Funding for Political Committees and Political Funds, and Independent Expenditure Committees and Funds

In addition to the sources of funding available to regular political committees and political funds, independent expenditure committees and independent expenditure funds may accept corporate contributions. The corporate contributions may be provided directly to the independent committee or fund, or corporate funding may be indirectly provided through a contribution from an association that receives corporate funding. As seen in **Figure 10**, direct contributions to independent expenditure committees and funds from for-profit corporations seldom occurs, with only \$1,500 received in 2019 and 2020.

Figure 10
Sources of Funding for Political Committees and Political Funds Compared to Funding for Independent Expenditure Committees and Funds 2019 - 2020




Both regular political committees and funds and independent expenditure committees and funds receive a significant portion of their funding from unregistered associations, which are associations not registered with the Board. However, the unregistered associations that contribute to regular committees and funds are often very different from the unregistered associations that contribute to independent expenditure committees and funds. Additionally, the underlying source disclosure required for contributions received from unregistered associations is significantly different and less revealing for independent expenditure committees and funds.

A regular political committee that receives a contribution of over \$200 from an unregistered association must acquire a disclosure statement from the association and provide the statement to the Board. The statement must be very similar to the disclosure report required under Chapter 10A for registered committees. Generally, an unregistered association that contributes to a political committee will be registered with the Federal Election Commission, or in another state. A regular political fund may also receive contributions from unregistered associations with the appropriate disclosure, and may also receive a transfer of membership dues from individuals from supporting organizations without underlying disclosure. Typically, this will be in the form of membership dues from labor unions or trade associations.

In comparison, independent expenditure committees and funds only need an underlying disclosure statement if the unregistered association has donated over \$5,000 in Minnesota during the calendar year. The disclosure statement for contributions to independent expenditure committees and funds is narrowly tailored to provide information only on the money used for the contribution, not the entire source of funding for the unregistered association. For donations to independent expenditure committees and funds the unregistered association only has to disclose sources of funds used for the donation in Minnesota if the funds were specifically designated for use in making the donation, or list sources of funding if a pro rata calculation of all unrestricted funding available to the association results in a source of funding providing over \$5,000 attributable to the Minnesota donation.

In **Figure 11** the source of funding for contributions to independent expenditure committees and funds provided on statements of underlying disclosure in 2020 is graphed. Of the \$12,356,529 in contributions that required underlying disclosure the underlying disclosure statement provided no itemization of the source of contributions was provided 58% of the time, another unregistered association was identified as the source of funding for the contribution 37% of the time, business revenue was identified as the source of funding for the contribution for 3% of the contributions, and individuals were identified as the source of funding for the contribution 2% of the time.

Figure 11
Disclosure of Funding Provided by Unregistered Associations
for Contributions to Independent Expenditure Committees and Funds in 2020



The Role of Large Contributions in Funding Political Committees, Political Funds, and Political Party Units


Any individual or association that contributes more than \$200 during a year to a single recipient is itemized on disclosure reports filed by political party units and political committees and funds.⁵ The total amount of itemized contributions received by political committees, political funds, and political party units from all sources in 2019 and 2020 came to \$103,496,223. Political party units, political committees, and political funds do not have limits on the size of contributions that may be received.

⁵ The itemization threshold for contributions received by ballot question committees and funds is \$500. However, no ballot question committee or fund has reported receiving a contribution since 2013.

Therefore, individuals who are able to contribute large amounts to party units and political committees become an important funding source.


In **Figure 12** the relative importance of individuals who each contributed \$50,000 or more in aggregate during 2019 and 2020 is compared to all other itemized donations from individuals. The 68 individuals who contributed more than \$50,000 during those two years provided \$14,364,099 in funding; more than the other 6,543 itemized individual contributors combined, who provided \$10,201,314 in funding.

Figure 12
Breakdown of Itemized Contributions from Individuals to Party Units and Political Committees and Funds in 2019 and 2020




The importance of large contributors is also shown when comparing contributions from all sources (including individuals) of over \$50,000 received to the total contributions received from all sources that contributed less than \$50,000 during the two-year period. As shown in **Figure 13** the 217 donors who contributed over \$50,000 provided 82% of total funding received during 2019 and 2020.

Figure 13
Breakdown of Itemized Contributions from All Sources to Party Units and Political Committees and Funds in 2019 and 2020




Appendix A 2020 Campaign Expenditures and Independent Expenditures by House District


District 1A




District 1B




District 2A




District 2B




District 3A




District 3B




District 4A




District 4B




District 5A




District 5B




District 6A




District 6B




District 7A




District 7B




District 8A




District 8B



District 9A




District 9B




District 10A




District 10B



District 11A




District 11B




District 12A




District 12B




District 13A




District 13B




District 14A




District 14B




District 15A




District 15B




District 16A



District 16B




District 17A




District 17B



District 18A




District 18B




District 19A




District 19B




District 20A




District 20B




District 21A




District 21B




District 22A




District 22B




District 23A




District 23B




District 24A




District 24B




District 25A




District 25B




District 26A




District 26B




District 27A




District 27B




District 28A




District 28B




District 29A




District 29B




District 30A




District 30B




District 31A




District 31B




District 32A




District 32B




District 33A




District 33B




District 34A




District 34B




District 35A




District 35B




District 36A




District 36B




District 37A




District 37B




District 38A




District 38B




District 39A




District 39B




District 40A




District 40B




District 41A



District 41B




District 42A




District 42B




District 43A




District 43B




District 44A




District 44B



District 45A




District 45B




District 46A




District 46B




District 47A




District 47B




District 48A




District 48B




District 49A




District 49B




District 50A




District 50B




District 51A




District 51B




District 52A




District 52B




District 53A




District 53B




District 54A




District 54B




District 55A




District 55B




District 56A




District 56B




District 57A




District 57B




District 58A




District 58B




District 59A




District 59B




District 60A




District 60B




District 61A




District 61B




District 62A




District 62B




District 63A




District 63B




District 64A




District 64B




District 65A




District 65B




District 66A




District 66B



District 67A




District 67B




Appendix B 2020 Campaign Expenditures and Independent Expenditures by Senate District


District 1




District 2




District 3




District 4




District 5




District 6




District 7




District 8




District 9




District 10




District 11




District 12




District 13




District 14




District 15




District 16




District 17




District 18




District 19




District 20




District 21




District 22




District 23




District 24




District 25




District 26




District 27




District 28




District 29




District 30




District 31




District 32




District 33




District 34




District 35



District 36




District 37




District 38




District 39




District 40




District 41




District 42




District 43




District 44




District 45




District 46




District 47




District 48




District 49




District 50




District 51




District 52




District 53




District 54




District 55




District 56




District 57




District 58




District 59




District 60




District 61




District 62




District 63




District 64




District 65



District 66



District 67



Appendix C 2020 Total Expenditures by Senate Districts in Descending Order

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
Senate	34	\$2,326,705.55	\$179,006.09	\$2,505,711.64
Senate	56	\$1,940,916.04	\$218,135.28	\$2,159,051.32
Senate	26	\$1,882,058.12	\$213,086.42	\$2,095,144.54
Senate	39	\$1,833,159.67	\$204,483.22	\$2,037,642.89
Senate	14	\$1,589,644.14	\$194,793.27	\$1,784,437.41
Senate	25	\$1,457,570.06	\$173,107.47	\$1,630,677.53
Senate	58	\$1,251,142.13	\$162,060.37	\$1,413,202.50
Senate	38	\$1,005,043.69	\$162,405.92	\$1,167,449.61
Senate	27	\$850,302.35	\$135,614.84	\$985,917.19
Senate	53	\$542,305.42	\$206,019.56	\$748,324.98
Senate	20	\$555,659.60	\$165,841.20	\$721,500.80
Senate	37	\$601,258.98	\$103,461.12	\$704,720.10
Senate	44	\$238,327.53	\$393,191.38	\$631,518.91
Senate	54	\$505,616.24	\$80,431.85	\$586,048.09
Senate	5	\$387,808.40	\$192,469.58	\$580,277.98
Senate	33	\$54,362.98	\$313,511.17	\$367,874.15
Senate	7	\$185,498.69	\$132,836.81	\$318,335.50
Senate	62	\$84,836.22	\$144,580.67	\$229,416.89
Senate	47	\$16,581.24	\$200,139.72	\$216,720.96
Senate	48	\$18,140.20	\$173,950.75	\$192,090.95
Senate	21	\$39,458.76	\$132,291.80	\$171,750.56
Senate	55	\$61,455.82	\$94,426.28	\$155,882.10
Senate	2	\$34,338.39	\$98,475.19	\$132,813.58
Senate	42	\$10,211.55	\$121,407.11	\$131,618.66
Senate	28	\$2,490.07	\$123,100.78	\$125,590.85
Senate	36	\$27,476.46	\$90,795.87	\$118,272.33
Senate	11	\$18,906.78	\$94,498.61	\$113,405.39
Senate	4	\$26,147.20	\$85,724.44	\$111,871.64
Senate	57	\$20,400.32	\$90,033.41	\$110,433.73
Senate	24	\$41,638.92	\$66,991.21	\$108,630.13
Senate	51	\$5,973.83	\$93,315.01	\$99,288.84
Senate	49	\$6,157.19	\$89,086.87	\$95,244.06
Senate	3	\$25,905.56	\$67,475.99	\$93,381.55
Senate	8	\$898.49	\$87,525.17	\$88,423.66
Senate	67	\$3,464.53	\$84,524.18	\$87,988.71
Senate	10	\$31,301.55	\$53,336.29	\$84,637.84
Senate	64	\$2,932.93	\$77,941.84	\$80,874.77

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
Senate	6	\$9,148.79	\$68,772.03	\$77,920.82
Senate	12	\$1,288.54	\$75,566.39	\$76,854.93
Senate	17	\$1,044.77	\$69,515.64	\$70,560.41
Senate	18	\$2,017.68	\$66,310.64	\$68,328.32
Senate	22	\$14,665.80	\$52,325.19	\$66,990.99
Senate	65	\$2,475.63	\$63,229.49	\$65,705.12
Senate	19	\$11,386.57	\$52,433.38	\$63,819.95
Senate	9	\$3,366.69	\$60,398.73	\$63,765.42
Senate	1	\$383.81	\$62,709.47	\$63,093.28
Senate	59	\$3,134.35	\$58,523.98	\$61,658.33
Senate	30	\$1,944.75	\$59,219.82	\$61,164.57
Senate	16	\$0.00	\$59,313.36	\$59,313.36
Senate	32	\$2,015.70	\$49,885.09	\$51,900.79
Senate	35	\$1,489.13	\$48,583.18	\$50,072.31
Senate	15	\$1,322.22	\$47,854.48	\$49,176.70
Senate	63	\$2,805.77	\$45,644.06	\$48,449.83
Senate	43	\$2,374.62	\$45,880.06	\$48,254.68
Senate	60	\$19,842.81	\$25,093.20	\$44,936.01
Senate	41	\$2,864.03	\$36,742.35	\$39,606.38
Senate	31	\$875.55	\$35,614.07	\$36,489.62
Senate	45	\$2,348.59	\$32,339.80	\$34,688.39
Senate	29	\$944.27	\$32,275.25	\$33,219.52
Senate	40	\$2,522.10	\$29,428.79	\$31,950.89
Senate	23	\$0.00	\$27,780.11	\$27,780.11
Senate	46	\$2,554.55	\$24,281.15	\$26,835.70
Senate	66	\$2,230.26	\$23,380.01	\$25,610.27
Senate	50	\$1,769.91	\$23,364.39	\$25,134.30
Senate	61	\$3,003.01	\$21,019.35	\$24,022.36
Senate	13	\$997.50	\$22,201.65	\$23,199.15
Senate	52	\$6,268.40	\$15,136.39	\$21,404.79

Appendix D 2020 Total Expenditures by House District in Descending Order

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
House	33B	\$422,789.27	\$255,715.31	\$678,504.58
House	39B	\$505,421.61	\$103,980.89	\$609,402.50
House	56B	\$472,435.53	\$107,669.77	\$580,105.30
House	38B	\$405,662.74	\$117,265.57	\$522,928.31
House	55A	\$385,018.44	\$114,115.90	\$499,134.34
House	36A	\$406,793.82	\$88,631.27	\$495,425.09
House	37B	\$368,592.77	\$110,326.86	\$478,919.63
House	5A	\$386,797.78	\$82,676.89	\$469,474.67
House	54B	\$338,152.30	\$108,507.95	\$446,660.25
House	56A	\$350,617.45	\$91,409.03	\$442,026.48
House	14B	\$358,192.69	\$77,771.94	\$435,964.63
House	4B	\$318,029.47	\$50,341.61	\$368,371.08
House	19A	\$262,937.57	\$102,104.10	\$365,041.67
House	34B	\$222,361.88	\$115,012.11	\$337,373.99
House	20B	\$240,994.94	\$75,243.43	\$316,238.37
House	27B	\$232,535.19	\$77,040.86	\$309,576.05
House	47B	\$209,057.40	\$78,957.30	\$288,014.70
House	54A	\$208,497.77	\$68,648.65	\$277,146.42
House	58A	\$135,337.43	\$106,206.04	\$241,543.47
House	38A	\$139,591.08	\$100,098.83	\$239,689.91
House	6A	\$140,807.63	\$58,491.68	\$199,299.31
House	34A	\$120,137.87	\$79,042.43	\$199,180.30
House	48B	\$104,678.50	\$76,705.88	\$181,384.38
House	5B	\$115,998.59	\$60,376.67	\$176,375.26
House	6B	\$100,058.99	\$76,296.87	\$176,355.86
House	53B	\$104,655.84	\$65,364.03	\$170,019.87
House	3A	\$99,178.17	\$70,700.00	\$169,878.17
House	14A	\$102,866.57	\$65,275.40	\$168,141.97
House	57B	\$83,123.62	\$56,037.42	\$139,161.04
House	23B	\$66,891.24	\$67,280.05	\$134,171.29
House	26B	\$77,705.42	\$55,317.46	\$133,022.88
House	44A	\$16,957.65	\$110,518.61	\$127,476.26
House	57A	\$36,379.56	\$76,778.13	\$113,157.69
House	11A	\$53,337.43	\$52,349.56	\$105,686.99
House	52B	\$44,928.41	\$51,228.47	\$96,156.88
House	63B	\$5,544.74	\$86,894.17	\$92,438.91
House	59B	\$1,135.10	\$88,287.50	\$89,422.60
House	42A	\$4,275.25	\$80,372.28	\$84,647.53

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
House	8A	\$228.88	\$81,465.25	\$81,694.13
House	66B	\$13,825.38	\$67,717.27	\$81,542.65
House	51B	\$4,279.60	\$75,731.73	\$80,011.33
House	33A	\$15,019.66	\$61,690.01	\$76,709.67
House	23A	\$0.00	\$76,301.28	\$76,301.28
House	21A	\$20,454.59	\$53,526.48	\$73,981.07
House	8B	\$0.00	\$72,208.97	\$72,208.97
House	28B	\$19,710.56	\$49,511.26	\$69,221.82
House	1A	\$0.00	\$66,551.49	\$66,551.49
House	25B	\$15,999.04	\$50,222.98	\$66,222.02
House	16B	\$0.00	\$63,871.57	\$63,871.57
House	37A	\$23,453.45	\$38,547.40	\$62,000.85
House	17A	\$422.14	\$59,926.47	\$60,348.61
House	4A	\$2,604.81	\$57,104.51	\$59,709.32
House	41B	\$1,208.01	\$57,936.44	\$59,144.45
House	30B	\$796.91	\$57,818.58	\$58,615.49
House	49B	\$5,790.45	\$51,735.94	\$57,526.39
House	44B	\$11,600.77	\$44,199.49	\$55,800.26
House	48A	\$4,266.83	\$51,449.95	\$55,716.78
House	20A	\$4,275.48	\$50,988.21	\$55,263.69
House	35B	\$335.36	\$53,200.95	\$53,536.31
House	18B	\$0.00	\$52,549.42	\$52,549.42
House	67A	\$1,738.04	\$50,532.92	\$52,270.96
House	2B	\$266.49	\$50,323.63	\$50,590.12
House	12A	\$1,014.15	\$48,361.46	\$49,375.61
House	27A	\$2,243.79	\$45,135.22	\$47,379.01
House	7A	\$2,009.26	\$44,711.00	\$46,720.26
House	45A	\$1,459.20	\$44,502.80	\$45,962.00
House	24A	\$0.00	\$44,539.99	\$44,539.99
House	42B	\$2,036.26	\$42,460.62	\$44,496.88
House	35A	\$554.62	\$43,646.52	\$44,201.14
House	11B	\$1,209.25	\$42,873.05	\$44,082.30
House	16A	\$246.21	\$42,744.11	\$42,990.32
House	26A	\$10,000.59	\$32,309.21	\$42,309.80
House	47A	\$12,323.96	\$29,798.16	\$42,122.12
House	32B	\$499.32	\$41,062.97	\$41,562.29
House	52A	\$1,300.16	\$38,095.02	\$39,395.18
House	36B	\$5,193.46	\$34,043.28	\$39,236.74
House	39A	\$7,880.57	\$30,306.16	\$38,186.73
House	12B	\$0.00	\$37,948.02	\$37,948.02

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
House	9B	\$0.00	\$37,464.19	\$37,464.19
House	15B	\$1,388.65	\$35,648.41	\$37,037.06
House	2A	\$470.80	\$35,891.96	\$36,362.76
House	13B	\$17,666.57	\$17,886.24	\$35,552.81
House	51A	\$1,871.13	\$32,984.02	\$34,855.15
House	46A	\$5,196.02	\$29,346.31	\$34,542.33
House	31A	\$638.12	\$31,323.22	\$31,961.34
House	19B	\$2,720.29	\$28,250.51	\$30,970.80
House	7B	\$2,268.07	\$27,642.89	\$29,910.96
House	9A	\$245.37	\$28,977.03	\$29,222.40
House	17B	\$231.40	\$28,779.26	\$29,010.66
House	55B	\$394.84	\$28,504.55	\$28,899.39
House	41A	\$1,214.92	\$27,503.64	\$28,718.56
House	15A	\$0.00	\$28,538.14	\$28,538.14
House	21B	\$1,157.80	\$27,145.52	\$28,303.32
House	49A	\$768.93	\$27,304.78	\$28,073.71
House	25A	\$7,128.13	\$20,933.76	\$28,061.89
House	64B	\$1,371.59	\$26,424.26	\$27,795.85
House	10A	\$437.96	\$26,757.68	\$27,195.64
House	29A	\$710.32	\$25,715.51	\$26,425.83
House	43B	\$524.46	\$25,619.19	\$26,143.65
House	53A	\$2,031.45	\$23,308.26	\$25,339.71
House	1B	\$0.00	\$25,308.23	\$25,308.23
House	66A	\$704.29	\$24,530.42	\$25,234.71
House	40B	\$262.72	\$24,722.76	\$24,985.48
House	29B	\$293.01	\$23,893.46	\$24,186.47
House	45B	\$1,118.16	\$21,961.69	\$23,079.85
House	22B	\$202.95	\$22,094.87	\$22,297.82
House	22A	\$279.47	\$21,785.64	\$22,065.11
House	30A	\$3,614.98	\$18,266.18	\$21,881.16
House	50B	\$697.12	\$21,183.94	\$21,881.06
House	67B	\$245.04	\$21,561.52	\$21,806.56
House	10B	\$211.50	\$21,432.31	\$21,643.81
House	43A	\$1,922.05	\$18,787.12	\$20,709.17
House	3B	\$866.84	\$19,806.26	\$20,673.10
House	13A	\$0.00	\$19,930.87	\$19,930.87
House	61B	\$1,440.07	\$17,813.90	\$19,253.97
House	58B	\$4,177.21	\$14,988.82	\$19,166.03
House	46B	\$1,259.55	\$17,672.48	\$18,932.03
House	28A	\$445.62	\$17,617.46	\$18,063.08

Office Sought	District	Independent Expenditures	Candidate Campaign Expenditures	Total Expenditures in District
House	40A	\$948.28	\$16,743.83	\$17,692.11
House	31B	\$334.04	\$16,661.15	\$16,995.19
House	32A	\$260.54	\$16,304.68	\$16,565.22
House	62A	\$1,507.48	\$13,843.26	\$15,350.74
House	24B	\$226.86	\$14,310.64	\$14,537.50
House	60A	\$4,406.47	\$9,380.40	\$13,786.87
House	50A	\$941.25	\$12,542.94	\$13,484.19
House	60B	\$1,241.20	\$12,183.72	\$13,424.92
House	18A	\$0.00	\$13,118.82	\$13,118.82
House	64A	\$1,328.46	\$9,497.47	\$10,825.93
House	63A	\$1,370.32	\$9,306.37	\$10,676.69
House	62B	\$244.72	\$9,979.94	\$10,224.66
House	61A	\$1,396.61	\$8,754.62	\$10,151.23
House	65A	\$850.34	\$8,343.72	\$9,194.06
House	59A	\$486.29	\$7,424.86	\$7,911.15
House	65B	\$973.13	\$5,178.79	\$6,151.92